

## Semigroups with a strong product

Shanghai, April 2012

Motivation: ssa algebras

Defn (Toms-Winter, 07)

A unital, separable,  $C^*$ -algebra is ssa if  $D \neq C$  and

$\exists \varphi: D \rightarrow D \otimes D$  st.  $\varphi \underset{a.u.}{\sim} (i_D \otimes 1_D) : D \rightarrow D \otimes D$ .  
 $d \mapsto d \otimes 1$ .

( $\varphi \underset{a.u.}{\sim} \gamma$  of  $\exists (\gamma_n)$  unaries such that  $\forall n \varphi(a)_n \xrightarrow{*} \gamma(a)$  bca)

We could as well have defined ssa by requiring

$\varphi \underset{a.u.}{\sim} 1_D \otimes i_D$ , in which case we obtain an equivalent defn, because if we put  $\sigma: D \otimes D \rightarrow D \otimes D$  (the flip)  
~~we get~~ and  $\varphi \underset{a.u.}{\sim} i_D \otimes 1_D$ , then

$$\sigma \circ \varphi \underset{a.u.}{\sim} \sigma(i_D \otimes 1_D) = 1_D \otimes 1_D.$$

Thm (Toms-Winter, 07) If  $D$  is ssa, then it is nuclear and simple. Also, either  $D$  is purely infinite or stably finite with a unique trace.

Examples:  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the Jiang-Su algebra, any UHF algebra of infinite type,  $O_2$ ,  $O_\infty$ ,  $O_2 \otimes O_\infty$ , UHF of infinite type  $\otimes O_\infty$ .

All these algebras happen to be  $\mathbb{Z}$ -stable.

Def:  $A$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -stable provided  $A \cong A \otimes \mathbb{Z}$ . More generally

for  $\Delta$  ssa,  $A$  is called  $\Delta$ -stable if  $A \cong A \otimes \Delta$ .  
Notice that, given  $A$ ,  $A \otimes \Delta$  is always  $\Delta$ -stable if  $\Delta$  is ssa.  
This prompted the Question:  $\Delta$  ssa  $\Rightarrow$   $\Delta$   $\mathbb{Z}$ -stable?

Theorem 1 (Dadarlat-Rørdam, 05): Yes; if  $\Delta$  contains a non-trivial projection. In this case,  $\Delta$  has moreover RRO.

Theorem 2 (Winter, 11): Yes, in full generality.

This is related to

Question: Are the previous examples the only ones?

Theorem (Dadarlat-Toms, 10): The only ASK algebra which is ssa and projectionless is  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

[Maybe mention: Does there exist a simple, separable, nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra which is not ASK?]

We want first to look at some of the results from the point of view of the Cuntz semigroup.

Def: For a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , its Cuntz semigroup is

$\text{Cu}(A) = \frac{(A \otimes K)_+}{\sim}$ , where  $a \sim b$  if  $a \leq b$  and  $b \leq a$ ;

and  $a \lesssim b$  if  $a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n b x_n^*$ , for a sequence  $(x_n)$  in

$\text{Cen}(A)$  is a semigroup with operation

$$[a] + [b] = [\varphi \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}]$$

where  $\varphi: \mathcal{M}_2(A \otimes K) \rightarrow A \otimes K$  is an (inner) isomorphism.

This operation is abelian, and compatible with an order, defined by  $[a] \leq [b]$  if  $a \leq b$ .

The structure of  $\text{Cen}$  is richer, as was shown by Coward-Elliott-Ivanescu (08).

Def: The category  $\text{Cen}$  is a category whose objects are ordered semigroups such that, for each  $S \in \text{Cen}$ , ~~every~~

- Every increasing sequence in  $S$  has a supremum.

- Every elements in  $S$  is a supremum  $s = \sup_{n \in \omega} x_n$  with  $x_0 \ll x_{n+1}$  (such a sequence is called rapidly increasing).

[Here,  $x \ll y$  means: if  $y \leq \sup_{n \in \omega} z_n$ , then  $\exists n: x \leq z_n$ .]

Maps in  $\text{Cen}$  are semigroup maps that preserve all the structure.

It seems pertinent to add two more requirements to the objects in  $\text{Cen}$ :

(AAO)-almost algebraic order:  $x \leq y \wedge x \ll x \Rightarrow \exists t \text{ such that}$

$$x' + t \leq y \leq x + t.$$

(AR)-almost Rest Decomposition:  $x' \ll x \leq y + z \Rightarrow \exists y' \leq y, x \text{ and } z' \leq z, x \text{ with } x' \leq y' + z'$ .

Then (Coward-Elliott-Ivanescu, 08; Rordam-Winter, 10; Robert, 12):

For any  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $\text{Cen}(A) \in \text{Cen}$ .

Note here that the typical example of  $\ll$  is  
 $[(a-\epsilon)_+] \ll [c]$  if ~~c <= 0~~ and  $\epsilon > 0$ .

It is known that, whenever  $A$  is p.i. simple, then  $C_*(A)$  is degenerate, namely  $\{0, \infty\}$ , so we shall restrict to stable finite examples.

Proposition: If  $A, B$  are

Defn: Given  $S, T, R$  in  $C_*$ , a  $\ll$ -bimorphism is a biadditive map  $\varphi: S \times T \rightarrow R$  that preserves suprema of increasing sequences in each variable, and such that  $\varphi(s', t') \ll \varphi(s, t)$  whenever  $s' \ll s$  and  $t' \ll t$ .

Proposition: If  $A, B$  are stable  $C^*$ -algebras, then the natural map  ~~$\otimes$~~   $A \times B \xrightarrow{\text{nuclear}} A \otimes B$  induces a  $\ll$ -bimorphism  $C_*(A) \times C_*(B) \xrightarrow{\ll} C_*(A \otimes B)$ ,  $([a], [b]) \mapsto [a \otimes b]$

Sketch: If  $w_1, w_2$  are isometries in  $M(A)$  w/ orthogonal ranges, then for  $a, a' \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ :

$$[(w_1 a w_1^* + w_2 a' w_2^*) \otimes b] = [(w_1 a w_1^* \otimes b) + (w_2 a' w_2^* \otimes b)] = (a \otimes b) + (a' \otimes b)$$

$\Rightarrow$  biadditive

By comparing norms of  $a \otimes b$  and  $(a-\epsilon)_+ \otimes (b-\epsilon)_+$ ,  
easy to see that  $[a \otimes b] = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} [(a-\epsilon)_+ \otimes (b-\epsilon)_+]$

-3-

With separate and simple  
where different parts → sum  
which → sum of products →  
→ sum of products → sum

$$[(\text{1}\otimes\text{-2})] \rightarrow (\text{1}\otimes\text{-2}) \longleftrightarrow [(\text{1})\otimes(\text{-2})]$$
$$[(\text{1})\otimes(\text{-2})] \leftarrow (\text{1}\otimes(\text{1})) \rightarrow (\text{1})\otimes(\text{1})$$
$$(\text{1})\otimes(\text{1}) \leftarrow (\text{1})\otimes(\text{1})$$

Now we see  $\text{1}\otimes\text{1}$  &  $\text{1}\otimes\text{-2}$  sum is 1 & if

•  $(\text{1}\otimes\text{-2}) \gg$

$$[+(\text{1}\otimes\text{-2})] \gg [+(\text{1}, \text{3}-\text{1}\otimes\text{-2})] \gg [+(\text{3}-\text{1})\otimes\text{1}] \otimes [+\text{1}(\text{3}-\text{2})]$$

$$[(\text{1}, \text{3}-\text{1}\otimes\text{-2})] \gg [+(\text{3}-\text{2})] \leftarrow$$

$$[(\text{3}-\text{2})] \gg [+(\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}]$$
$$[(\text{3}-\text{2})] \gg [+(\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}] \leftarrow$$

$$[(\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}] \gg [+(\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}] \leftarrow$$

$$[(\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}] \gg [+(\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}]$$

$$[(\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}] \gg [+(\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}] \leftarrow$$

$$[(\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}] \gg [+(\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}] \leftarrow$$

$$[(\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}] \gg [+(\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}]$$

$$\text{Givn } \text{E} \gg \text{U}, \text{ B} \gg \text{U}, \text{ D} \gg \text{U}, \text{ C} \gg \text{U}$$

$$[(\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}] \gg [+(\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}] = (\text{3}-\text{2})\otimes\text{1}$$

where  $S$  is a simple  $\omega$  with a smooth boundary.

Proposition  $S = C(D)$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega^1(S)$

$\Omega^1(S)$

symmetric  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$   
 $S \times S$

$S \times S$

$f(z) = \psi(D)(z)$

function, namely,  $f(z) \in \Omega^1(S)$  and  $f(z) = \psi(D)(z)$

We moreover assume  $S$  is a simply connected domain with a smooth boundary.

Suppose we have a mapping  $\varphi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\varphi(z) = \psi(D)(z)$

$\varphi \leftarrow \psi(D)$

$S \leftarrow S \times S$

$\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

Def: A mapping  $\varphi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has a local derivative at  $z_0 \in S$  if

$\varphi(z) - \varphi(z_0) \approx (z - z_0) \psi(D)(z_0)$

$\varphi(z) - \varphi(z_0) = (z - z_0) \psi(D)(z_0) + o(z - z_0)$

Also write that

$\varphi(z) \approx \psi(D)(z)$

The result holds when  $\varphi(z) \approx \psi(D)(z)$ .

Def: If  $\varphi(z) \approx \psi(D)(z)$  then  $\varphi(z) \approx \psi(D)(z)$

① has a differential derivative left to  $\varphi(z)$ .

Def: The product of two functions:

L. Lemma (2011) for part, for  $S \in C$ , the number of  
 real numbers  $x$  such that  $S \times [0, x] \times S \subseteq S$ , and  
 $\inf_{x \in S} S \times [0, x] \times S = S$ .  
 Suppose  $S \in C$ ,  $f(S) = S$ .  
 Then  $S \times [0, f(S)] \times S \subseteq S$ .  
 And we have  $\inf_{x \in S} S \times [0, x] \times S = S$ .  
 Now consider  $x_0 \in S$ .  
 Then  $x_0 \in S \times [0, x_0] \times S$ .  
 Since  $S \times [0, x_0] \times S \subseteq S$ ,  
 we have  $x_0 \in S$ .  
 Hence  $S = S \times [0, x_0] \times S$ .  
 Therefore  $x_0 = 1$ .  
 Hence  $f(S) = 1$ .  
 This completes the proof.

$$C_*(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{N}_0 \sqcup [0, \infty] = \mathbb{Z}.$$

In general, if  $S \in C_*$  has  $\mathbb{Z}$ -multiplication iff

$\exists$  a  $\mathbb{C}$ -bimorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \times S \rightarrow S$  with  $1_{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot s = s$

~~Bratteli diagram~~ It is known that any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  satisfies that  $C_*(A)$  is almost unperforated and almost divisible:

almost unperforated:  $(k+1)x \leq ky$  some  $k \Rightarrow x \leq y$ .

almost divisible:  $\forall x, \forall k, \exists y: ky \leq x \leq (k+1)y$ .

Thm:  $S \in C_*$ , then  $S$  has  $\mathbb{Z}$ -multiplication iff  $S$  is almost unperforated and almost divisible

Pf: Show  $\Rightarrow$  (easy part).

$$(n+1)x \leq ny \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n}x + \dots + \frac{1}{n}x \leq \frac{1}{n}y + \dots + \frac{1}{n}y = 1'y.$$

$$(1' + \frac{1}{n})x \Rightarrow x \leq (1' + \frac{1}{n})x \leq 1'y \leq y.$$

Given  $x, n$ :

$$n \cdot (\frac{1}{n}x) = 1'x \leq x \leq (1' + \frac{1}{n})x = (n+1)(\frac{1}{n}x), \text{ w take } y = \frac{1}{n}x \quad \square$$

Just as was done for simple  $\mathbb{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebras, we can give a representation theorem for semigroups in  $C_*$  with simple multiplication (and that have a distinguished compact elt. wt zero).

How to do this?

Such an  $S$  has real multiplication, by restriction of scalars. In fact,  $S = S_c \sqcup 1^*S$ , and  $1^*S$  has real multiplication. So this allows to define:

$$S \rightarrow S_c \sqcup \text{LAff}(F(S)_e)^{++}$$

$$s \mapsto s \quad \text{if } s \in S_c$$

$$s \mapsto F(S)_e \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{++ \cup \{\infty\}} \quad \text{if } s \notin S_c.$$

$$\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{(s)}.$$

~~In the case of one fractional~~

(That this is so follows from representing  $1^*S \cong L(F(1^*S))$  by Robert's th, and identifying  $L(F(1^*S))$  with  $\text{LAff}(F(S)_e)^{++}$ )

In the case of one fractional, this yields

$$S \cong S_c \sqcup [0, \infty).$$

This will be the one for  $S$  with a strong product, provided they have  $\mathbb{Z}$ -multiplication.

Is this always the one?  $S \neq \mathbb{N}$   
Th:  $S \in \mathcal{C}_w$  with a strong product. Then  $S$  has  $\mathbb{Z}$ -multiplication.

Sketch: ① Enough to almost divide 1.

If  $n^2 \leq 1 \leq (n+1)^2$  then  $n^2s \leq s \leq (n+1)^2s$

and  $(n+1)s \leq n+1 \Rightarrow n^2s \leq (n+1)^2s \leq n^2t \leq t$ .

(2)  $a \leq k+1$ ,  $0 < b < b' < 1 \Rightarrow t \geq 0$  and  $ab' \leq 1$ .

Find  $t \neq 0$ :  $b+t \leq 1 \leq b'+t \rightarrow b^2 + bt \leq 1$ , and so

$b^2 + bt + t^2 \leq b+t \leq 1$ . In this way:

$$b^n + t(b^{n-1} + \dots + b+1) \leq 1$$

Find  $m$ :  $b \leq m t$  and let  $\ell = km + 1$

$$ab^\ell = ab^{km}.b \leq a b^{km} m t \leq km b^{km}.t$$

$$\leq (b^{km} + b^{km-1} + \dots + b+1)t \leq 1.$$

(3) If  $k, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $b \ll a$  with  $k \leq j$ ,  $k \leq (k+n)b$ .

Take  $\delta$  the major functional.,  $0 < s \ll s' < 1$ ,  $s$  non compact

$$\lambda(ws) < \lambda((k+n)s) \Rightarrow \exists s_1, \dots, s_k \text{ st } \lambda(ws_i) < \lambda((k+n)s_i)$$

$\Rightarrow \exists n$ :  $kns \leq (k+n)ns_i$  and even  $kns \ll (k+n)s_i$

problem

$$\Rightarrow kn(ss_i) \ll (kn)ns_i$$

Continue in this way to find  $s_1, \dots, s_{k-n}$  with  $kn s_i \ll s_i$

~~else~~  $\frac{s}{(kn)^{k-n}}$ . Take  $a = s_1, \dots, s_k$ .

(4) If  $\exists$  of  $a \in S$ ,  $b \ll a$  with  $2ka \leq 1$ ,  $2ka \ll (2kn)b$

then  $\ell$  is almost double.

[This is more technical, omitted.]

T-fractions, for  $T$  with a strong product, are  
possible when  $T$  come from a ~~weak~~ UHF alg. of  
afinite type?

This is possible, yes, e.g. if  $P = P^\infty$ ,  $P$  prime number  
define  $S_P = \lim (\mathbb{S}, \cdot P)$  in the category  $\mathcal{C}_e$ .

Then one can show that  $S_P$  corresponds to the tensor product, in the category, of  $\mathbb{S}$  with  $\text{Gr}(UHF(P))$ .  
For  $\mathbb{Z}$ , this is harder, but still.